## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-6, 9-12, 14-18, and 20-22 are pending. No amendment has been made.

## Applicants' Statement on Substance of Examiner's Interview

Applicants express their gratitude to Examiner Moore for the telephone interview on June 11, 2007. In the interview, the undersigned pointed out that the composition of Example 6 of Fujita '130 does not include components (A) and (B) recited in instant claim 1. Examiner Moore agreed to withdraw the rejections of record.

## Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claim 1-6, 9-12, 14-18, and 20-22 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 01/90244, as interpreted by the English language equivalent Fujita '130. Specifically, the Office Action contends that Example 6 of Fujita '130 discloses an antisagging agent in the amount of 2 parts per 100 parts of total base polymer, and further contends that the vinyl polymer can be present in an amount of 100:1 (see col. 8, II. 8-11), and that adding 2 parts of antisagging agent to such a composition would have been obvious.

Applicants submit that, as stated during the telephone interview, and as the Examiner admitted during the interview, that the composition of Example 6 of Fujita '130 does not include components (A) and (B) as recited in instant claim 1. The composition of Example 6 includes the vinyl polymer product of Synthesis Example 1. While component (A), a vinyl copolymer (I) containing at least one hydrosilylatable alkenyl group per molecule, may be used in Synthesis Example 1, it is NOT the final product of Synthesis Example 1, and therefore is not present in the composition of Example 6. Additionally, the crosslinkable silyl group-containing polyether polymer, S303, in the composition of Example 6 is not the same as component (B), a hydrosilyl group-containing compound, as recited in claim 1.

Therefore, Fujita '130 does not teach or suggest all the claim limitations, and a *prima* facie case of obviousness has not been established. Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejections, which the Examiner has agreed to during the telephone interview.

i

Patent Docket No.: 35355/47 Appn. Serial No. 10/518,497 Response to February 8, 2007 Final Office Action

## **CONCLUSION**

The claims are believed to be allowable.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (202) 220-4200 to discuss any matter concerning this application.

In the event that the filing of this paper is deemed not timely, Applicants petition for an appropriate extension of time. The Office is authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayments to deposit account 11-0600 of Kenyon & Kenyon LLP.

Respectfully submitted, KENYON & KENYON LLP

Dated: <u>July 9, 2007</u>

By:

Michelle H.W. Shen Registration No. 48,823

KENYON & KENYON LLP 1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20005-1257 Telephone (202) 220-4200 Facsimile (202) 220-4201